IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

| AARON L. SMITH, #48412, |) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) |
| vs. |) Case No. 21-cv-00069-JPG |
| GRANITE CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, |) |
| Defendant. |) |

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

GILBERT, District Judge:

Plaintiff Aaron Smith brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to address violations of his rights that occurred in connection with his arrest on or around November 29, 2019. The Court screened the Complaint (Doc. 1) on August 4, 2021, and the First Amended Complaint (Doc. 11) on November 10, 2021. (*See* Docs. 10 and 12). Neither one survived preliminary review under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. (*See id.*). The Court dismissed both without prejudice. (*Id.*).

Plaintiff was granted leave to file a Second Amended Complaint no later than December 8, 2021. (Doc. 12). He was warned that the action would be dismissed with prejudice, if he failed to do so by the deadline. (*Id.*). He was also warned that the dismissal would count as one of his three allotted "strikes" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). (*Id.*).

Plaintiff missed the deadline for filing the Second Amended Complaint. At least a week has passed since the deadline expired. He has not requested an extension.

The Court will not allow this matter to linger indefinitely. This action shall be dismissed with prejudice for failure to comply with the Court's Order (Doc. 12) to file a Second Amended

Complaint and/or to prosecute his claims. See FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b). The dismissal shall count as

one of Plaintiff's three allotted "strikes" within the meaning of Section 1915(g).

Disposition

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED with prejudice based on

Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's Order to file a Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 12)

and prosecute his claims. See FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); Ladien v. Astrachan, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir.

1997); Johnson v. Kamminga, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994). This dismissal counts as a "strike"

within the meaning of Section 1915(g).

If Plaintiff wishes to appeal this Order, he may file a notice of appeal with this Court within

thirty days of the entry of judgment. FED. R. APP. 4(a)(1)(A). If Plaintiff does choose to appeal,

he will be liable for the \$505.00 appellate filing fee irrespective of the outcome of the appeal. See

FED. R. APP. 3(e); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); Ammons v. Gerlinger, 547 F.3d 724, 725-26 (7th Cir.

2008); Sloan v. Lesza, 181 F.3d 857, 858-59 (7th Cir. 1999); Lucien, 133 F.3d at 467. He must

list each of the issues he intends to appeal in the notice of appeal. Moreover, if the appeal is found

to be nonmeritorious, Plaintiff may also incur another "strike." A proper and timely motion filed

pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) may toll the 30-day appeal deadline. FED. R.

APP. P. 4(a)(4). A Rule 59(e) motion must be filed no more than twenty-eight (28) days after the

entry of judgment, and this 28-day deadline cannot be extended.

The Clerk's Office is **DIRECTED** to close this case and enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 12/15/2021

s/J. Phil Gilbert

J. PHIL GILBERT

United States District Judge

2